

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.711.378>

Application of Enzyme Industrial Waste-Municipal Solid Waste Composts: Residual Effect on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil

K.S. Karthika^{1*}, V.R.R. Parama², C.T. Subbarayappa²,
B. Hemalatha² and C.S. Vidya²

¹ICAR- National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Regional Centre, Hebbal, Bangalore - 560 024, Karnataka, India

²Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore - 560 065, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Compost, Industrial Wastes, Municipal Solid Waste, Residual effect, Soil properties

Article Info

Accepted:

26 October 2018

Available Online:

10 November 2018

The residual effect on soil properties on application of composts made out of composting enzyme industrial wastes and municipal solid wastes namely MEES compost (multiple effect evaporator salts + municipal solid waste) and primary sludge (PS) compost (Primary sludge + municipal solid waste) were evaluated in this study. Application of composts recorded a marginal increase in soil pH towards harvest (6.70 and 6.68 in treatment T₈ (50% N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM and T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) respectively) in comparison to the initial pH and a higher organic carbon content of 0.56 and 0.57 per cent at 30 DAS and 0.52 and 0.53 in T₆ (MEES Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and T₇ (PS Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) respectively after the harvest of cowpea which was grown as the residual crop. A positive residual effect was evident in compost treatments with an increased status of available major and micro nutrients.

Introduction

Soil organic matter plays an important role in maintaining soil fertility and supply of plant nutrients. Application of organic residues to soil aims at improving the soil physical, chemical and biological properties which are in direct relation with the soil organic matter content. The rising interest in organic methods of farming brings in more usage of organic grade municipal solid waste compost considering their positive effects on soil properties (Iglesias-Jimenez and Alvarez, 1993).

The municipal solid waste composts are rich source of nutrients. Final mature municipal solid waste compost recorded a pH of 7.13, EC of 7.7 dS m⁻¹, total P 13.87 %, K- 0.6-1.7 % and Na- 0.086 % (Shyamala and Belagali, 2012). Municipal solid waste compost from Bangalore on characterization revealed a pH of 8.19, EC 0.58 mScm⁻¹, 26.61 % C, 1.13 % N, 2.92 % P and C: N ratio of 23.55 (Manju *et al.*, 2013).

Organic residues *viz.* composts, influence soil properties by exhibiting a residual effect on their application (Eghball *et al.*, 2004).

Application of municipal solid waste compost resulted in an improvement in soil physical properties like total porosity, field water capacity, plant available water and chemical properties like increased organic carbon, available P, K and Mg contents in soil exhibiting a better residual effect (Weber *et al.*, 2007). Three years after the application of composted biosolids improved several chemical and biological soil properties such as organic C (SOC), humified C fractions (THS-C), water soluble C (DOC), total nitrogen (Nk), basal respiration (CO₂-E), and potential nitrogen mineralization (PMN) (Ana *et al.*, 2012).

The composts, in general, possess a carryover effect, indicating the need to evaluate their residual effect in soil. Residual effect of application of composts on soil chemical properties evaluated in a study resulted in a 104 per cent increase in soil organic matter, 173 percent in available phosphorus, 17.4 per cent of exchangeable Ca (Demelash *et al.*, 2014). Here, this field experiment was conducted with the objective to evaluate residual impact on soil properties on application of enzyme industrial waste-municipal solid waste composts.

Material and Methods

The field experiment to evaluate the residual effect of enzyme industrial waste-municipal solid waste composts on physico-chemical properties of soil was carried out in a sandy loam soil located near Nelamangala, Bangalore in the Eastern Dry Zone (Zone 5) of Karnataka. Two composts made out of composting enzyme industrial waste-municipal solid wastes *viz.* MEES compost (multiple effect evaporator salts + municipal solid waste) and primary sludge (PS) compost (Primary sludge + municipal solid waste) and fertilizers were used in this experiment to know the effect on soil properties.

Experimental details

Wastes from enzyme industry were mixed with municipal solid waste and composting was carried out which resulted in two composts of improved nutrient status. These composts are referred to as MEES compost (multiple effect evaporator salts + municipal solid waste) and primary sludge (PS) compost (Primary sludge + municipal solid waste) in this study. These composts were evaluated for their residual effects on soil properties after the harvest of cowpea which was grown as the residual crop following the main crop which was maize. The field experiment consisted of nine treatments replicated thrice in a Randomised Complete Block Design. The treatments were applied only to the first crop maize and the treatment details for the first crop included T₁: Package of Practices (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹), T₂: 100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹, T₃: 50% N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea + P and K, without FYM, T₄: 50% N through PS compost + 50 % N through urea + P and K, without FYM, T₅: FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹, T₆:MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹, T₇:PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹, T₈: 50% N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM, T₉: 50% N through PS + 50 % N through FYM.

Based on the nitrogen concentration in composts and the recommendation to the first crop (maize), the application rates of composts were calculated. The composts were characterized and were found to be rich sources of nutrients. MEES compost recorded 2.28, 0.46 and 1.94 and PS compost recorded 1.93, 3.29 and 0.81 per cent N, P and K respectively. The nitrogen needs were met from the compost as well as the nitrogenous fertilizers applied. This experiment was carried out in the same field without disturbing the lay out using cowpea as the test crop. Cowpea (var. AV 5) was raised on residual nutrients left over in soil after harvest

of first crop maize. During this experiment, fertilizer or manure was not added. The growth of cowpea was determined at 30 days after sowing (DAS), 60 DAS and at harvest. The soil samples were drawn during these periods and analyzed in the laboratory by following the standard procedures to understand the changes in soil properties. Soil pH and EC were determined by potentiometric and conductometric method respectively (Jackson, 1973), organic carbon by wet oxidation method (Walkley and Black, 1934), available nitrogen by alkaline permanganate method (Subbaiah and Asija, 1956), available phosphorus by Bray's method (Bray & Kurtz, 1945), potassium by neutral normal ammonium acetate extraction method, exchangeable Ca and Mg by Complexometric titration / versenate method, sulphur by turbidimetric method (Jackson, 1973) and DTPA extractable micronutrients by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Lindsay and Norwell, 1978).

Statistical analysis

Fisher's method was adopted for analysis of variances and interpretation of the data. The level of significance used in 't' test was $P=0.05$ (Panse and Sukhathme, 1967).

Results and Discussion

Residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on soil properties

pH, EC and organic carbon

The data pertaining to the residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on pH, EC and organic carbon in soil at 30, 60 days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest of cowpea are indicated in Table 1.

There was no significant difference in soil pH at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest. In comparison

to the initial pH, there was a marginal increase in soil pH on application of biosolid composts. Maximum pH of 6.70 was observed in treatment T₈ (50% N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM) which was on par with treatment T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) which recorded a pH of 6.68 at harvest. This observation is in accordance with the findings by Mkhabela and Warman (2005) who described increased soil pH when compost was used. This increase may be due to the mineralization of carbon and the subsequent production of hydroxyl ions.

Electrical conductivity also increased in comparison to the initial EC. At harvest, higher EC of 0.26 dS m⁻¹ was recorded in treatment T₈ (50 % N through MEES + 50% N through FYM) and lower EC of 0.03 dS m⁻¹ was recorded in treatment T₂ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹). Electrical conductivity of soil ranged from 0.06 dS m⁻¹ in treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) to 0.20 dS m⁻¹ in treatment T₉ (50 % N through PS + 50% N through FYM) at 30 DAS. The treatments T₈ (50 % N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM) and T₉ (50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM) exhibited an increase in soil EC towards harvest and recorded 0.26 and 0.14 dS m⁻¹ respectively. These results are in agreement with that of Iglesias-Jimanez and Alvarez (1993), who reported that MSW composts applied at rates ranging from 40-120 Mg ha⁻¹ were seen to proportionally increase the EC of soils to which they were applied.

An increase in soil organic carbon content in comparison to the initial value was observed in all the treatments. Organic carbon content of soil did not vary significantly, though it recorded a decreasing trend from 30 DAS to harvest with progress in the growth of crop. Higher organic carbon content of 0.59 per cent in soil was recorded in treatment T₉ (50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM) at 30 DAS. This may be due to the increased

amount of organic matter applied in these treatments. The treatments T₆ (MEES Compost @10 t ha⁻¹) and T₇ (PS Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) recorded higher organic carbon content of 0.56 and 0.57 per cent at 30 DAS and 0.52 and 0.53 at harvest respectively. This may be attributed to the higher levels of organic matter addition in these plots in the form of compost application. Civeira (2010) and Vaca *et al.*, (2011) reported similar results. Montemurro *et al.*, (2006) reported an increase in soil organic matter content with the application of MSW compost.

Major nutrients content of soil

The data pertaining to the residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O contents of soil at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest of cowpea are presented in Table 2.

Residual available nitrogen content of soil was not significant at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest (Table 2). Higher levels of available nitrogen (166.35 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) at 30 DAS. This may be due to the initial high status of available N in that treatment. Lower nitrogen content was observed in the soils with treatment T₅ (FYM@ 10 t ha⁻¹) at 30 DAS. However, an increase in available nitrogen content was observed in all the treatments except T₁ (100% NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and T₂ (100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹). At harvest, treatment T₃ (50 % N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM) recorded maximum nitrogen content and this was on par with T₄ (50 % N through PS compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM). The increase in soil N status may be due to the release of N from composts.

Mineralization of organic matter in added composts leads to slow releasing of nutrients in particular N. Since there was no addition of

fertilizers in the compost amended treatments T₆ (MEES Compost @10 t ha⁻¹) and T₇ (PS Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹), the source of plant available forms of N was the organic source. The same levels of N found in the treatments T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and treatments T₆ (MEES Compost @10 t ha⁻¹) and T₇ (PS Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) indicate undoubtedly that all N applied in mineral form was either taken up by plants or possibly leached from the soil during the growing season. The soil amended with composts still contained considerable amounts of N present in non-mineralized OM. Similar findings have been reported by Soumare *et al.*, (2003a) and Weber *et al.*, (2007).

Available phosphorus content of the soil varied significantly at 30DAS but not at 60 DAS and at harvest (Table 2). Available phosphorus was maximum (84.80 kg ha⁻¹) in treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) at 30 DAS. Lower P content of 50.65 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded in treatment T₅ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) at harvest. Phosphorus content of the soil decreased from 30 DAS to harvest in treatments T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹), T₂ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹), T₃ (50 % N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM) and T₄ (50 % N through PS compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM), whereas it increased in all the other treatments. The increase was observed in soils treated with composts, FYM and organic wastes. This may be attributed to the release of P from composts and wastes as a result of mineralization (Sharma *et al.*, 2013). These results are in conformity with the findings of Tognetti *et al.*, (2008) who summarised from their study that co-composting municipal organic waste with biosolids increased product degradability and nutrient release capacity which was evident from an increased extractable P. A similar increase was noticed in case of application of MSW compost. Soil P availability increased

with addition of MSW compost, soil P retention decreased with increasing compost application because of competition between organic ligands and phosphate for sites on metallic oxides as well as the formation of phosphohumic complexes which can increase P mobility (Giusquiani *et al.*, 1988). Soumare *et al.*, (2003b) reported that the application of MSW compost improved the soil organic matter, total N, available P and K content.

Similar was the trend observed in case of available potassium content in soil (Table 2). Higher levels of available potassium 310.25, 299.17 and 290.12 kg ha⁻¹ were recorded in treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest respectively. Available potassium content of soil varied

significantly at 30 DAS and at harvest. At harvest maximum potassium content was observed in treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) followed by treatment T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹). High values of available K₂O may be ascribed to the release of K from organic sources.

These results are in accordance with the findings by Giusquiani *et al.*, (1988) who reported that soil K concentrations increased even when very low rates of MSW composts were used and Soumare *et al.*, (2003b) who reported that the application of MSW compost improved soil available K in the soil. Weber *et al.*, (2007) observed a large increase in the available K on application of MSW composts, during the entire period of the experiment.

Table.1 Residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on pH, EC and organic carbon content of soil at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and at harvest of cowpea

Treatments	pH			EC (dS m ⁻¹)			OC (%)		
	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest
T ₁	6.03	6.00	6.00	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.52	0.49	0.47
T ₂	6.20	6.09	6.00	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.54	0.52	0.50
T ₃	6.30	6.28	6.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.54	0.52	0.50
T ₄	6.30	6.28	6.24	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.48	0.46	0.45
T ₅	6.10	6.07	6.02	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.44	0.42	0.40
T ₆	6.19	6.09	6.04	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.56	0.54	0.52
T ₇	6.89	6.96	6.68	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.57	0.55	0.53
T ₈	6.72	6.03	6.70	0.20	0.28	0.26	0.58	0.50	0.52
T ₉	7.10	6.74	6.43	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.59	0.53	0.49
Sem±	0.26	0.25	0.30	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.08	0.07
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	0.08	0.09	0.04	NS	NS	NS

T₁: POP (100 % NPK + FYM @10t ha⁻¹)

T₂: 100 % NPK+ FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹

T₃: 50% N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₄: 50% N through PS compost+ 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₅: FYM@ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₆: MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₇: PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₈: 50 % N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM

T₉: 50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM

Table.2 Residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on major nutrients content of soil at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and at harvest of cowpea

Treatments	Available N			Available P ₂ O ₅			Available K ₂ O		
	kg ha ⁻¹								
	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest
T₁	166.35	155.25	150.86	84.80	80.80	72.45	310.25	299.17	290.12
T₂	160.18	150.90	140.10	74.32	69.26	65.21	292.42	284.67	271.32
T₃	155.25	168.94	174.72	65.21	62.16	59.36	281.83	273.52	257.21
T₄	145.10	150.03	156.45	80.12	79.68	75.26	270.50	262.43	248.12
T₅	125.38	130.71	135.87	40.17	43.99	50.65	224.13	231.57	243.17
T₆	145.77	150.90	155.09	59.75	62.06	63.19	253.81	264.36	266.75
T₇	135.31	142.98	150.62	65.81	70.87	75.59	248.65	253.35	274.93
T₈	130.71	136.03	141.51	60.18	62.69	66.30	232.08	241.89	257.18
T₉	130.00	133.02	140.40	51.01	56.50	65.50	230.93	243.68	252.01
Sem±	18.20	18.77	23.83	8.70	10.07	6.92	15.82	40.36	7.97
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	26.08	NS	NS	47.42	NS	23.90

T₁: POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10t ha⁻¹)

T₂: 100 % NPK+ FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹

T₃: 50% N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₄: 50% N through PS compost+ 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₅: FYM@ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₆: MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₇: PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₈: 50 % N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM

T₉: 50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM

Table.3 Residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on secondary nutrients content of soil at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and at harvest of cowpea

Treatments	Exchangeable Ca			Exchangeable Mg			Available S		
	cmol (+) kg ⁻¹						mg kg ⁻¹		
	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	At harvest
T₁	4.10	3.23	2.99	1.40	1.36	1.33	15.72	15.31	11.75
T₂	3.74	3.11	2.82	1.20	0.92	0.86	12.34	10.68	10.36
T₃	3.46	3.34	2.93	1.76	1.68	1.50	16.24	13.77	12.74
T₄	3.40	3.03	2.82	1.84	1.57	1.43	12.59	11.28	10.92
T₅	2.71	3.06	3.12	0.83	1.14	1.25	12.23	11.20	10.81
T₆	2.75	2.93	3.00	2.31	2.72	2.81	19.19	16.95	16.33
T₇	2.42	3.00	3.34	2.16	2.31	2.55	16.09	13.53	12.69
T₈	2.52	2.93	3.41	1.44	1.50	1.82	17.01	14.38	13.53
T₉	2.36	2.67	3.74	1.40	1.48	1.68	17.85	15.84	15.31
Sem±	0.54	0.35	0.26	0.50	0.39	0.91	4.33	2.70	1.61
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

T₁: POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10t ha⁻¹)

T₂: 100 % NPK+ FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹

T₃: 50% N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₄: 50% N through PS compost+ 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₅: FYM@ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₆: MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₇: PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₈: 50 % N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM

T₉: 50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM

Table.4 Residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on DTPA extractable micronutrients content of soil at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and at harvest of cowpea

Treatments	Fe			Mn			Zn			Cu		
	(mg kg ⁻¹)											
	30 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest
T₁	20.51	19.30	14.24	13.24	12.41	10.34	7.72	4.14	4.03	1.04	0.99	0.91
T₂	19.74	17.60	12.99	19.60	11.30	10.89	7.95	4.36	3.30	1.03	0.94	0.83
T₃	23.09	24.04	17.74	12.59	13.29	12.22	10.23	8.44	3.70	1.19	1.16	1.08
T₄	21.67	24.04	17.74	13.31	15.72	10.55	9.30	8.30	7.38	1.12	1.08	1.04
T₅	21.09	16.09	11.87	12.81	10.66	8.94	6.26	3.58	3.45	1.02	1.01	0.98
T₆	37.81	34.68	31.26	16.45	18.19	12.96	16.34	14.20	13.52	1.48	1.32	1.27
T₇	29.34	31.49	23.24	21.02	18.21	14.91	13.91	13.35	9.14	1.40	1.30	1.23
T₈	28.12	22.84	16.86	24.46	15.92	12.03	12.95	10.95	7.99	1.34	1.23	1.13
T₉	24.41	19.36	14.29	13.45	15.13	12.62	13.15	8.23	7.11	1.25	1.17	1.08
Sem±	6.69	3.25	4.17	5.47	3.50	1.96	2.74	2.93	0.95	0.19	0.20	0.16
C.D. at 5%	NS	9.75	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.86	NS	NS	NS

T₁: POP (100 % NPK + FYM @10t ha⁻¹)

T₂: 100 % NPK+ FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹

T₃: 50% N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₄: 50% N through PS compost+ 50 % N through urea, PK without FYM

T₅: FYM@ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₆: MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹

T₇: PS compost @10 t ha⁻¹

T₈: 50 % N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM

T₉: 50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM

Secondary nutrients content of soil

The data indicating the residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on status of exchangeable Ca, exchangeable Mg and available S in soil at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest of cowpea are provided in Table 3.

Higher Ca content of 3.74 cmol (p+) kg⁻¹ was recorded in treatment T₉ (50 % N through PS + 50% N through FYM) followed by 3.41cmol (p+) kg⁻¹ in treatment T₈ (50 % N through MEES + 50% N through FYM). There were no significant differences between the treatments. However, the organic matter addition had increased the exchangeable Ca in post-harvest soil compared to other treatments. Shanmugam (2005) observed that repeated applications of compost for three consecutive years progressively increased soil Ca concentrations compared to fertilizer treatments. Municipal solid waste compost has been reported to increase total and extractable soil Ca concentrations (Warman *et al.*, 2004; Zheljzakov and Warman, 2004).

Magnesium content also followed the same trend. Higher Mg content of 2.81 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹ was observed in the soil which received treatment T₆ (MEES Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and this was on par with treatment T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) which recorded 2.55 cmol(+) kg⁻¹ at harvest. Similar increase in soil Mg content with the application of municipal solid waste compost was also reported by Bhargavi (2001) and Weber *et al.*, (2007).

The available sulphur content of soil recorded higher values in organic treatments over initial sulphur contents of soil. Higher S content of 16.33 mg kg⁻¹ was observed in treatment T₆ (MEES Compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) followed by 15.31 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₉ (50 % N through PS + 50 % N through FYM) at harvest. This increase in available sulphur

may be due to the release of organic bound sulphur and native sulphur through mineralisation process. Kadalli (1999) reported that treatments with composts increased the S content significantly. The sulphur content of soil decreased with time. This is in accordance with the study by Zhang *et al.*, (2006) who reported similar results on application of MSW compost. Municipal solid waste compost increased soil S concentrations, but levels decreased with time. This may be perhaps due to downward movement of the element in the soil profile.

DTPA extractable micronutrients content of soil

The data on the residual effect of enzyme industry biosolid composts on DTPA extractable micronutrients content of soil at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest are furnished in Table 4.

The content of all micronutrients followed similar trend as that of secondary nutrients in soil. The micronutrients content of residual soil after harvest of cowpea were higher in compost treatments when compared to that of treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and T₂ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹). The increase in micronutrients availability could be attributed to the release of organically bound micro nutrients through mineralization. Further, the increase in availability may also be due to the action of organic compounds by which they may form soluble complex with micronutrients and help in increasing their availability.

Iron content of soil ranged from 11.87 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₅ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) to 31.26 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₆ (MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) at harvest. Treatment T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) recorded 23.24 mg kg⁻¹ of iron in the residual soil. In a study, significant increase in available soil Fe was

reported with increase in application rate (Maftoun *et al.*, 2004). Soumare *et al.*, (2003b) reported an increase in Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu concentration in soils treated with compost. Manganese content in the soil ranged from 12.59 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₃ (50% N through MEES compost + 50 % N through urea + P and K) to 24.46 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₈ (50 % N through MEES + 50 % N through FYM) at 30 DAS. Soil Mn content was non-significant at harvest which ranged from 8.94 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₅ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) to 14.91 mg kg⁻¹ in T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹). Total soil Mn concentrations tended to increase on addition of MSW composts (Giusquiani *et al.*, 1988). Kadalli (1999) reported a significant increase in the micronutrient status of soils applied with enriched composts.

Available zinc showed non-significant variation at 30 and 60 DAS. At 30 DAS it varied from 6.26 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₅ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) to 16.34 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₆ (MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹). At harvest, zinc status ranged from 3.30 mg kg⁻¹ in T₂ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹) to 13.52 mg kg⁻¹ in T₆ (MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) treatment. The treatment T₇ (PS compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) recorded 9.14 mg kg⁻¹ of zinc in the residual soil. On application of MSW compost, an increase in the total soil Zn concentration was observed when compared to unamended control (Gallardo-Lara *et al.*, 1980; Giusquiani *et al.*, 1988). At harvest, Cu varied from 0.91 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₁ (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) to 1.27 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment T₆ (MEES compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹) which was non-significant. This is in accordance with the findings by Sebastio *et al.*, (2000) and Zhang *et al.*, (2006) who reported a significant increase in the soil Cu concentrations when amended with MSW compost in an acidic sandy soil.

The application of enzyme industrial waste – municipal solid waste composts resulted in a

positive residual effect on soil physico-chemical properties as evident by the improved nutrient status of the soil. Even after the harvest of the residual crop, the soil recorded increased levels of pH, EC and available nutrients indicating the release of nutrients from the composts as a result of solubilization and mineralization emphasizing the strong carry over effect. Municipal solid waste, which is the carbon source in composting process, could be a source of potentially toxic or hazardous heavy metals, the presence of which in soil on application of these composts needs a major research further. The residual status of heavy metal concentration in the soil on application of these enzyme industrial waste – municipal solid waste composts needs to be evaluated to understand the possibilities of heavy metal contamination from the municipal solid waste. Hence, further research must be carried out before recommending these composts as a component in agriculture.

References

- Ana, P., Fernandez-Getino, A., Juana Perez, B., Maria D. M. Albarran, A., Diana M. L., A. and Walter, I., 2012, Restoration of Abandoned, Degraded Agricultural Soil Using Composted Biosolid: Influence on Selected Soil Properties. *Arid Land Res. Manage.*, 26:200–210.
- Bhargavi, M. V., 2001, Bioremediation recycling of solid urban waste. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bangalore.
- Bray, R. H. and Kurtz, L. T., 1945, Determination of total organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Sci.*, 59: 39-45.
- Civeira, G., 2010, Influence of municipal solid waste compost on soil properties and plant reestablishment in peri-urban environments. *Chilean J. Agric. Res.*, 70(3): 446-453.
- Demelash N., Bayu, W., Tesfaye, S. Ziadat, F. and Sommer, R., 2014. Current and

- residual effects of compost and inorganic fertilizer on wheat and soil chemical properties. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 100:357–367
- Eghball, B., Ginting, G. and Gilley, E.J. 2004. Residual effects of manure and compost applications on corn production and soil properties *Agron. J.* 96:442-447.
- Gallardo-Lara, F, Robles, J., Esteban, F., Azcon, M. and Nogales, R., 1984, Poderfertilizante de un compost de basaurbana. 11 Efectodirecto y residual sobre la asimilabilidad de Fe y Zn. In: *Proc. of I Longreso Naccional de la Ciencia del suelo.*, I: SECS, Madrid, 393-403.
- Giusquiani, P. L., Marucchini, C. and Businelli, M., 1988, Chemical properties of soils amended with compost of urban waste. *Plant Soil*, 109:73-78.
- Iglesias-Jimenez, E. and Alvarez, C., 1993, Apparent availability of nitrogen in composted municipal refuse. *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 16: 313–318.
- Jackson, M.L., 1973, *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall, New Delhi, pp. 1-485.
- Kadalli, G.G., 1999, Coirdust based enriched compost and characterization of the humic fractions. *Ph.D. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bangalore.
- Lindsay, W.L. and Norwell, W.A., 1978, Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am.J.*, 42: 421-428.
- Maftoun, M., Moshiri, F., Karimian, N. and Ronaghi, A., 2004, Effects of two organic wastes in combination with phosphorus on growth and chemical composition of spinach and soil properties. *J. Plant Nutrition*, 27(9): 1635–1651.
- Manju, R., Ramanathan, A. L. and Kuriakose, T., 2013, Characterisation of municipal solid waste compost (MSWC) from selected Indian cities- A case study for its sustainable utilization. *J. Environ. Protection*, 4: 163-171.
- Mkhabela, M. and Warman, P.R., 2005, The influence of municipal solid waste compost on yield, soil phosphorus availability and uptake by two vegetable crops, grown in a sandy loam soil in Nova Scotia. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 106: 57–67.
- Montemurro, F., Maiorana, M., Convertini, G. and Ferri, D., 2006, Compost organic amendments in fodder crops: effects on yield, nitrogen utilization and soil characteristics. *Compost Sci. Utilization.*, 14(2): 114–123.
- Panse, V.G., and Sukhathme, P.V., 1967, Statistical method for Agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi, package of practice. 37-47.
- Sebastiao, M., Queda, A. and Campos, L., 2000, Effect of municipal solid waste compost on potato production and heavy metal contamination indifferent types of soil. In: *Warman, P.R., Taylor, B. (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Composting Symposium*. CBA Press Inc. (Pubs.), Halifax/Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, pp. 760–772.
- Shanmugam, G.S., 2005. Soil and plant response of organic amendments on strawberry and half-high blueberry cultivars. *Master's Thesis*. Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada.
- Sharma, G.D., Thakur, R., Som, R., Kauraw, D.L. and Kulhare, P.S. 2013. Impact of integrated nutrient management on yield, nutrient uptake, protein content of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and soil fertility in *Typic Haplustert*. *Bioscan* 8:1159–1160.
- Shyamala, D. C. and Belagali, S. L., 2012, Studies on variations in physico chemical and biological characterization

- at different maturity stages of MSWC. *Int. J. Environ. Sci.*, 2(4): 1984-1987.
- Soumare, M., Tack, F. and Verloo, M.G., 2003b, Characterization of Malian and Belgian solid waste composts with respect to fertility and suitability for land application. *Waste Manage.*, 23: 517–522.
- Soumare, M., Tack, F. and Verloo, M.G., 2003a, Effects of a municipal solid waste compost and mineral fertilization on plant growth in two tropical agricultural soils of Mali. *Biores. Techn.* 86: 15–20.
- Subbaiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L., 1956, A rapid procedure for the estimation of the available nitrogen in soil. *Curr. Sci.*, 25: 259-260.
- Tognetti, C., Mazzarino, M.J. and Laos, F., 2008, Compost of municipal organic waste: Effects of different management practices on degradability and nutrient release capacity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 40: 2290–2296.
- Vaca, R., Lugo, J., Ricardo M., Esteller, M.V. and Zavaleta, H., 2011, Effects of sewage sludge and sewage sludge compost amendment on soil properties and *Zea mays* L. plants (heavy metals, quality and productivity). *Rev. Int. Contam. Ambie.*, 27(4): 303-31.
- Walkely and Black, C. A., 1934. An examination of digestion method for determining organic carbon in soil, effect of variation in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. *Soil Sci.*, 63: 251-263.
- Warman, P. R., Murphy, C., Burnham, J. and Eaton, L., 2004, Soil and plant response to MSW compost applications on lowbush blueberry fields in 2000 and 2001. *Small Fruit Rev.*, 3: 19-31.
- Weber, J., Karczewska, A., Drozd, J., Licznar, M., Licznar, S., Jamroz, E. and Kocowicz, A., 2007, Agricultural and ecological aspects of a sandy soil as affected by the application of municipal solid waste composts. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39: 1294–1302.
- Zhang, M., Heaney, D., Henriquez, B., Solberg, E. and Bittner, E., 2006, A four year study on influence of biosolids/MSW compost application in less productive soils in Alberta: nutrient dynamics. *Compost Sci. Utilization.*, 14(1): 68–80.
- Zheljazkov, V. and Warman, P.R., 2004, Source-separated municipal soil waste compost application to Swiss chard and basil. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 33: 542–552.

How to cite this article:

Karthika, K.S., V.R.R. Parama, C.T. Subbarayappa, B. Hemalatha and Vidya, C.S. 2018. Application of Enzyme Industrial Waste-Municipal Solid Waste Composts: Residual Effect on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(11): 3273-3284. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.711.378>